

CANDOUR

*The British
Views - Letter*

To defend national sovereignty against the menace of international finance

Vol 41, No 2.

Registered at the G.P.O. as a Newspaper

February 1989.

REPORT FROM SOUTH AFRICA

by HANS SCHMIDT

MOST of November I spent on a highly informative, incredibly exciting, fact-finding trip to South Africa. I was with a group of politically active, astute and intelligent American conservatives who wanted to discover first-hand what the Republic of South Africa is all about, and how much credence one may give to news reports emanating from that area. In the RSA our trip became such a "high-impact" endeavour that even I at times had difficulties in keeping up with everybody. In retrospect it seems we saw "everybody" and visited almost everything to be seen in that great and beautiful country. And, no, we did not see any rioting anywhere (I believe Bishop Tutu was out of the country!). The security measures were on par with those found at American airports, and definitely not as obtrusive as those in Communist countries.

A few weeks in any country doesn't make one an expert. Therefore, I have no illusions about the validity of my recently acquired judgement. Nevertheless, I feel that the time has come for more open debate on all questions relating to South Africa. Before I went, I was of the opinion that the white people there are partially to blame for their predicament regarding blacks because they made themselves dependent upon black labour. Now I view the matter somewhat differently. Black Africa needs white South Africa to provide jobs, to educate those who want to be educated, and to give hope to those in all African nations under the Equator who desire a better life than that offered by black potentates. The fact that daily thousands of black Africans move to "oppressive" RSA in search for jobs and a better future proves this point. We should definitely not be taken in by the TV reports of rioting youngsters in Soweto. There, many are unemployed, and for reasons difficult to discern they will remain unemployable while more recent immigrants of other tribes get sought-after jobs.

What about "apartheid" you may ask? To be honest, unless one looks for it, it is hardly noticeable to the outsider. South African blacks have a full range of social services and conveniences. In many instances white and black societies overlap without any friction. Certainly, some housing areas and semi-public places are off-limits to blacks, but that can be compared to the fact that in the United States I would definitely not be accepted as a member of a B'nai B'rith lodge, where so many big money deals are concluded, or that I would hardly be welcome in some New York City areas where Hassidic Jews live. I don't go there where I am not wanted! The "apartheid" matter is closely intertwined with the Western policies vis-a-vis the RSA. It is obvious that the powers-that-be in the United States use it only as a pretext to undermine the South African regime, probably with the hope that it may fall, and a more pliable (and more corrupt) black government can be established.

At one of our meetings we met with a former finance minister of South Africa. In his discourse this gentleman remembered his surprise when, a few years back, the Chase Manhattan Bank called in its South African loans. Hearing this, I was incredulous. I certainly had no inside information at the time, yet when this Rockefeller bank took that step, it was for me merely one more action than I had expected. In further discussions with South Africans I discovered this surprising fact: many people in RSA do not seem to realise who is their real enemy! There was much talk, both from South Africans as well as the American leaders of our group, that the main danger to South Africa emanated from Moscow. "Communism, with world revolution as its goal, has its eyes on the mineral riches of South Africa, and also desires the horn of Africa for geopolitical, strategic reasons." And, according to these people, proof of the pudding is the Communist Cuban troops fighting in Angola!

South Africa's Deadlier Foe

First of all, I insist that the major enemy of the South African white republic is the American internationalist establishment, and as long as the South Africans don't realise this, that long they cannot win this battle. Certainly, the Russians also covet South Africa, but not for reasons of world revolution. I agree with former West German Chancellor Helmut Schmidt who wrote last year that "Moscow's strategy is three-quarters old-fashioned Russian and only one-quarter communist." Here follows the gist of a conversation I had with an intelligent Afrikaaner

Afrikaaner: Why are you so certain that our main enemies are in New York and not in Moscow?

HS: Because it is in the United States and not in Moscow where strategies of sanctions and similar actions are developed.

Afrikaaner: Why would our American friends do this to us?

HS: Those who take these actions against you are not your friends. They are not even Americans, even if they carry American passports. They are internationalists.

Afrikaaner: Would they be satisfied if we totally abolish apartheid?

HS: You must be kidding! These people will not stop before South Africa is totally destabilised. They want your mineral wealth!

Afrikaaner: You don't understand: they own most of the gold, platinum and other mines already.

HS: For them, absolute control is more desirable. Only then can they set the price of gold at will, probably knowing that the Soviets would go along with any increase.

This Afrikaaner was no exception. It just wouldn't get into his thick skull that in the United States there are people who want the destruction of his country. It is so difficult for people all over the world to differentiate between "the American people" and the tiny, unelected upper crust ruling it. Far too many suffer from the illusion that American elections really have validity and express the will of the people. I always have to make clear to them that in the United States nobody gets elected who doesn't pay obeisance to the internationalist establishment. Anybody who doesn't play this game is ruthlessly eliminated.

To hark the anti-Communist theme at this time is like beating a dead horse. Communism as a dynamic and expanding ideology is dead. Yes, I know the Russians are still using it in Third World countries, but that

can be compared to the incessant efforts by the American establishment to propagate "democracy" everywhere. Once the South Africans realise who their real enemies are, their counter-measures should be tailored accordingly. I certainly would not have negotiated with someone like Chester Crocker. Furthermore, the South Africans should not have paid the interest on the "called-in" loans once the financiers had done so much harm to their currency. The South African Government did right in trying to bid for time once the situation got out of hand. In the meantime the leverage of the internationalists has decreased considerably. It might have helped if RSA had a "Ministry of Propaganda" that knew the ways of the world. [We doubt it. Ian Smith's Rhodesian Government had an informed and astute Information Advisor in the form of Ivor Benson and look how short a time he lasted! - Editor.] As it is, even the lousy English-language newspapers in the Republic toe the internationalist, race-mixing line.

South Africa's Tremendous Potential

It is my opinion that the South Africans view the world too much from a European vantage point. Perhaps they should buy those old National Geographic globes where one can turn the world totally upside-down. I see no reasons for South Africans that their own country cannot be the centre of the world. Once they saw things from this vantage point, they would realise the tremendous potential of their own nation. It is important to remember that RSA is the only country on the African continent that counts as a Western industrialised nation and can produce almost everything it needs. Particularly at this stage of international politics (when both Washington and Moscow are forced to look inwardly), a more stringent system of economic self-sufficiency could and should be developed. I have a feeling that Botha et al are afraid to demand more sacrifices from their people, yet that is precisely what they must do. Alas, it has to be done with a good propaganda apparatus behind it.

Perhaps it is also time that the South African white elite consider thinking in "imperialistic" terms. Attack is still the best defence, and looking at the whole of Africa from Cape Town I could envisage the tremendous opportunity that lies before us. Remember, South Africa really has everything to become the nucleus of an empire: people, land, natural resources and "know-how". The only thing lacking is the ability to think in both "imperialist" and historical terms.

To South Africans reading this I say: Get off the defensive! The greatest danger for you has passed. Tell your enemies to go to hell. Do not be afraid to ask your people for sacrifice; they'll understand. You have much more going for you than you think! Furthermore, do not apologise for apartheid. Remember, every nation, every folk and every culture has the right, the God-given right, to take its own measures for self-preservation.

HANS SCHMIDT is founder and national chairman of the German-American National Political Action Committee (GANPAC). His newsletter, GANPAC Brief, appears monthly and is available for \$25 per annum from P.O. Box 27566, Washington D.C. 20038, U.S.A.

TAPES FROM FOREST HOUSE - If it's truth you want, it's candid cassettes you need! Send 2x14p stamps to Candour Research Dept. for our new list.

CUT AND THRUST

JANUS offers candid comment on news from home and abroad

OUR long-suffering prison officers are to receive a Home Office guide to the various "religions" likely to be encountered amongst their charges. Apparently, there are wide variations of places of worship, holy days, dietary laws and the like, and one major headache is the large number of days on which adherents would normally be required to abstain from work! Well, it's my view that anyone who is liable to be sent to jug in the normal course of his career should consider joining the Reformed Church of Sybarites. Members are strictly bound to abstain from all labour on seven days a week, not to consume less than four meals a day, to swig a suitable amount of strong drink, smoke daily (preferably cigars) and receive consolation as need dictates from one or more priestesses of the cult. As these religious practices are both private and sacred, all members should be provided with a cell of sufficient size, en suite and fitted with a comfortable bed, as it has been found that a hard mattress prevents the devotee from concentrating on his meditations.

I SEE the probation service has been complaining that the number of coloured inmates of our prisons is much higher, in proportion to their numbers, than whites. It's being suggested that blacks are more readily put "inside" than should be the case; this, in turn, is attributed to the unforgivable sin of racism on the part of Plod and the judiciary. As the exact number of coloured immigrants in this country is unknown (or more likely, not disclosed to the public), the statistics are uncertain. However, there is a similar anomaly that gets no publicity: the numbers of men and women are roughly equal but there are many more male prisoners than there are female. So what gives in that situation? One can hardly argue that men are far more wicked than women and no-one would dare to suggest that the female of the species lack the intelligence or skill to carry out crimes. So this disparity in numbers must obviously be due to sexist bias of the most heinous kind and we can only hope that one of the organisations which over-see our moral well-being will unveil this infamy with all due speed. We can hope.

ZAIRE, a.k.a. the Congo, owes 1.2 billion dollars to Belgium and there's a big stink over this. Possibly the Belgians are foolish enough to want their money back, or at least something paid on account. "President" Mobutu of Zaire has responded by beckoning his finger at all the 15,000 Zairians (Zairish? Zairicans? Zairs? They all sound like two-bit parts in **Star Trek**) to leave Belgium. Perhaps this kind of principle could be applied more widely. Cancel the debt (which is most unlikely to be paid, anyway) if the nationals involved go home. Curious to say, the Belgian Government regards such a mass departure with some disquiet; it's claimed the Zairian exodus would create social tensions (I cannot imagine what) and Belgium relies on Zaire for a large part of its trade (whatever happened to the European Community?). I should have thought that having to give money to someone in order that he will have enough money to buy one's goods was not very profitable. But then I am not an international businessman.

AT the end of last year Cecil Parkinson said in a speech that Mrs Thatcher would like to pay off the National Debt before she retires, and this could take 17 years. The Treasury responded that this was one

of Cecil's little jokes (the paying off of the debt, that is, rather than Maggie's Methusaleh-like ambitions to stick around till 2005). They see the 400-year-old £197 billion National Debt as an "accounting procedure", a kind of fiscal heirloom from Good King Billy's time, which doesn't ever need to be paid off. Well, they would say that, wouldn't they? Now we read in the **Telegraph** that Nigel Lawson is expected to announce plans for the swift repayment of the National Debt when he delivers his Budget in March. What a marvellous idea, Fatty! Repaying the National Debt with money brought out of nothing (at interest) from the Bank that owns the Debt in the first place.

WHILE on the subject of usury, I note from the **Evening Standard** that a new record is believed to have been set by loan sharks in Israel, and to everyone's shock also found by a court to be legal and binding. An unnamed 36-year-old resident of a Tel Aviv suburb found that a loan of £1600 had risen to a staggering debt of £170,000 in just two years from compound interest. And if it's not repaid within the next month it will total £220,000. There's an advertisement I have heard on L.B.C. radio that talks of a beautiful holiday location with lots of sun and gorgeous beaches. The announcer asks, "Where is this place? It could only be Israel." Now we learn that a loan has risen to more than 137 times its original amount in the space of two years, and that a court has ruled such a loan to be perfectly legal. What sort of country could possibly tolerate such usury? "It could only be Israel."

HERE in England, it is reported in **The Times** that financial advice is available from Citizens Advice Bureaux since they now have specially trained workers who deal with complex debt problems. In addition, several CAB Money Advice Support Units have been established, which concentrate on helping people manage their financial burdens, prepare financial statements, make equitable arrangements with creditors, and so on. The newspaper reported one person's annoyance at being told by a CAB worker that he "ought to have known better" than get embroiled in debt. Surely we all "ought to know better" than to fall victim to usury, but all our efforts to enlighten the public or reform the establishment are met with the official and media silence awarded to most right as opposed to wrong statements.

IN Denver, Colorado, girls are paid a dollar a day not to become pregnant; the cost of aid to one-parent families is far greater than the amount thus paid. In Britain, Action on Youth Crime says that cuts in social security payments make it more likely that young people will turn to crime. Is this a foretaste of the future? Will young people be paid sufficient money to make it unnecessary to take to crime and unprofitable to have bastard offspring? The poor, deprived youngsters must be paid a wage which is roughly equal to that which they would draw if forced to go to work. Admittedly this would mean that with no incentive to work at all (with the added disadvantage of having to get up in the morning and go out), the majority of our workforce would sign on for a life of ease. If we are fortunate, there will be sufficient mugs left to keep the economy from coming to a halt, but that is an unimportant detail.

THE standard of teaching at at London primary school was so bad that the local education authority decided to do something. Seven teachers were transferred (and not discharged as one would expect). Possibly the staff and parents at the schools which are now lumbered with these throw-outs will be pleased. Or more likely not know what they are.

THE racial unrest between African students in China and the locals is bound to cause great concern in some circles. Surely we are always told that Blacks cannot be guilty of racism; on the other hand the Chinese are non-White and must therefore be counted as Black. Possibly the best course for the race relations industry is to pretend the incidents never happened. Meanwhile, on another ride in the "racist roundabout" the Rev. Jesse Jackson has denounced the term "Black" as passe, calling for its replacement by "African-American". Oddly, not so many years ago we were told that "Black was beautiful". The reverend gentleman also claimed that to be called "African-American" has cultural identity and puts such people in their proper historical context. The difficulty is that many inhabitants of the "Great Melting Pot" have somewhat more mixed parentage. What, for instance, is the "proper historical context" of someone with a dash of Negro, European and Indian blood in his veins? Presumably it's a context that only a computer could comprehend.

REVOLUTIONARY Communist good-for-nothing Viraj Mendis has been hooked out of his Manchester church sanctuary at long last and put aboard a plane for Ceylon to await his fate. But instead of being shot at the airport on arrival, his return made front-page news. As one newspaper put it, "the only people who shot him were the photographers at the airport who jostled each other for a better position as Mendis walked out from the terminal a free man, allowed to roam anywhere he pleases." Accompanying the charlatan was the Rev. Harry West, assistant clergyman at the church where Mendis was holed up. When asked by a reporter from **The Times** whether, having been taken for a ride by Mendis for two years, he had wanted to join him on this ride too, the incomparable Rev. West replied, "Balls, and you can quote me."

MEANWHILE, it has emerged that a secret network of families and churches is providing "safe houses" for other "refugees" threatened with deportation. Claims Mr Ronnie Moodley, chairman of the Refugee Forum, "It is based on the Resistance during the war. There is the same need for 100% secrecy." There is a slight difference, of course, between the Refugee Forum and the wartime resistance. The latter faced imprisonment, torture and death, while the worst that could happen to members of the Forum would be a small fine or, more probably, a suspended sentence. Small boys playing at soldiers run about with toy guns and swords, shouting; but they are only children and do not expect to get hurt. The modern, self-styled equivalent of the resistance enjoy all the thrills of being undercover agents but without risking anything. Unfortunately, like so many of their ilk on the liberal-left they have never grown up. Not surprisingly, a Home Office spokesman said he had no knowledge of their intrepid network.

WHEN Countess Donhoff accepted a literary prize named after a Jewish poet, she was injudicious enough to say she found it astonishing that "friends of Israel" had not reacted with "indignation" to the shooting of Palestinians week after week on the West Bank and the Gaza Strip. The West German Central Jewish Council declared that she had demonstrated a "lack of sensitivity" in her speech. I suppose there are certain things which well brought-up ladies should still hesitate to mention in public!

ACCORDING to Douglas Hurd, our fearsome Home Secretary, "this is the year we can deliver a knock-out blow to violent crime." How he reminds me of King Lear: "I shall do such things. What they are yet I know not, but they shall be the terrors of the earth."

1992 IN WONDERLAND

THE death of Mr Charles L Dodgson, the eminent Oxford Mathematics don, sadly diminished that band of rare intellects on whom many came to rely for informed and acute comment on human affairs. The majority of his published works were, of course, intended for specialised readership (e.g. **Condensation of Determinants** and **The Fifth Book of Euclid treated Algebraically, so far as it relates to Commensurable Magnitudes**). However, in two major works he presented a survey of the politico-economic scene that won instant acclaim, even from schoolchildren.

Regrettably, his views on the Common Market were not formulated before his premature death. Nevertheless, students of his style should have no difficulty in surmising how he would have dealt with the zealots of the European Movement and their proselytising addresses to councillors, industrialists, Women's Institutes, sixth formers, the sun, the moon, the stars, the spray and driftwood of the oceans. Only his pen could have portrayed the hilarious spectacle of about a dozen countries all making identical products (or rapidly learning how to do so) and happily swapping them with each in the name of something called Free Trade and Political Union - and all those countries with different languages, legal and monetary systems, and national characteristics; some with intractable ethnic and religious problems; some being monarchical, others republican. Ah, the faery wonder of it all!

We can only conjecture what he would have made of the present depressing gaggle of captains of industry (many of them ennobled by their Monarch) who appear on our TV screens, apparently without a prick of conscience, to advocate a breach of British sovereignty and a giant step on the road to a supranational bureaucracy. Perhaps, just for once, he would have borrowed from another gifted critic the words, "They would none of 'em be missed."

PETER BURDON

SEARCHLIGHT COMPETITION

MANY thanks to readers for their entries in the **Searchlight** competition. The wittiest suggestion for the thought running through our Editor's mind when confronted by a Lefty photographer came from M.B. in Dunmow, Essex. A £5 book token is on its way to him.

NATIONALISM TODAY, ideological journal of the National Front. New issue available from Burning Books, P.O. Box 258, Croydon, Surrey, for £1.20 incl. postage.

CHRISTIAN HERITAGE ADVOCATE. Send large s.a.e. for free copy to David Scoffins, 25 Louise Street, Burslem, Stoke-on-Trent ST6 1AS.



CHATHAM HOUSE AND GORBACHEV

[A 'Letter to the Editor' not published in **The Daily Telegraph**!]

YOUR correspondent from the Royal Institute of International Affairs once again confirms Chatham House's role of fifth column activity inside our own country. I always understood that this institute is debarred by Royal Charter from pursuing policy objectives. The letter referred to not only openly ignores that charter but puts forward false arguments, while criticising your excellent leader of December 8th.

The Soviets have not changed their spots. Their world-wide objective is the same as it has always been: global domination under Communism. They condone lies, double-dealing, murder and slavery in all its forms as means to this end. If Chatham House has opposing views, then it must admit to anti-British motives and indeed to being a supporter of Communism, as opposed to our Queen and Country. Wherein do our interests as a Nation coincide with those of the Soviet regime of slavery and repression? Nowhere!

The reductions that Gorbachev puts forward are not "very large by any standard". Your correspondent should go back to his service days, when he must have been taught, as we all were, to respect the enemy, yes, but not to trust the word of a criminal one. Gorbachev's suggestions are still only words easily reversed. Therefore, they are not to the West's advantage. They in no way alter the position vis-a-vis the contemplation of Soviet large-scale operations against Western Europe.

The Soviets have got their backs to the wall at last. In that, they are the more dangerous. Chatham House has been in receipt of hundreds of thousands of US dollars from such organisations as the Ford and Carnegie Foundations and like Communist supporters, all posing as working for peace, the while planning to destroy all nationhood and religious faiths. It is time Chatham House was put in its place. It is not part of the Establishment and should not pose as such. Indeed, its Royal Charter should be withdrawn on grounds that it operates against our Sovereign Queen and her Realm.

J.R. BRYANS

WE MOURN THEIR PASSING

Two indefatigable patriots have died in recent months. **Geoff Parker**, of Bromley, joined the Cause in the days of the L.E.L. and proved to be a staunch supporter of this journal, despite differences of opinion arising from his B.I. beliefs. **Jim Tillotson**, of Dorset, was another patriot who devoted himself absolutely to trying to alert others to the perils facing this nation. An NF Parliamentary candidate for the West Dorset seat in 1979, Jim later transferred his allegiance to the BNP.

CANDOUR SUBSCRIPTION RATES

U.K. £6.00 OVERSEAS £8.00 AIRMAIL U.S. \$15 AIRMAIL PER ANNUM

DON'T HOPE IN TORY WORDS - TAKE BRITISH ACTION!

Printed and published by Candour Publishing Company, Forest House, Liss Forest, Hampshire GU33 7DD, England [Editor: Rosine de Bouneville]